

# DIFFERENTIAL FORMS, ODD LAPLACIAN AND "PFAFFIANS"

Hovhannes Khudaverdian and Theodore Voronov

University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

GEOQUANT

September 07 – 11, 2009, LUXEMBOURG

# Contents

Differential forms and semidensities

Odd Laplacian of Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism

"Pfaffian" of an odd canonical transformations

Severa's spectral sequence and canonical Laplacian

Differential forms on manifold  $M$ 

Semidensities (half-densities) on odd symplectic superspace  $\Pi T^*M$ .

The famous Batalin-Vilkovisky operator—Odd Laplacian rightly viewed stands instead of de Rham differential.

This is underlined by some simple and beautiful facts from linear algebra of vector superspaces.

## Differential forms on manifolds

Let  $M$  be  $n$ -dimensional manifold  
(local coordinates  $(x^1, \dots, x^n)$ ).  
Differential form on  $M$

$$\Omega(M) \ni \omega = \omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} dx^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{i_k}$$

To view differential forms on manifolds consider vector bundles associated with this manifold.

## Spaces $\Pi T^*M$ and $\Pi TM$

$TM$  –space of **tangent vectors** (local coordinates  $(x^i, \dot{x}^j)$ )

## Spaces $\Pi T^*M$ and $\Pi TM$

$TM$  –space of **tangent vectors** (local coordinates  $(x^i, \dot{x}^j)$ )

$T^*M$  space of **tangent covectors** (local coordinates  $(x^i, p_j)$ )

## Spaces $\Pi T^*M$ and $\Pi TM$

$TM$  –space of **tangent vectors** (local coordinates  $(x^i, \dot{x}^j)$ )

$T^*M$  space of **tangent covectors** (local coordinates  $(x^i, p_j)$ )

Canonical (**even**) **symplectic structure** on  $T^*M$ :

$$\{x^i, p_j\} = \delta_j^i, \{x^i, x^j\} = 0, \{p_i, p_j\} = 0.$$

## Spaces $\Pi T^*M$ and $\Pi TM$

$TM$  –space of **tangent vectors** (local coordinates  $(x^i, \dot{x}^j)$ )

$T^*M$  space of **tangent covectors** (local coordinates  $(x^i, p_j)$ )

Canonical (**even**) symplectic structure on  $T^*M$ :

$$\{x^i, p_j\} = \delta_j^i, \{x^i, x^j\} = 0, \{p_i, p_j\} = 0.$$

### Change parity of fibres

$TM \rightarrow \Pi TM$  with coordinates  $(x^i, \xi^j)$ ,  $\xi^j$  are **odd**

$T^*M \rightarrow \Pi T^*M$  with coordinates  $(x^i, \theta_j)$ ,  $\theta_j$  are **odd**

$\Pi T^*M$  is an odd symplectic supermanifold endowed with **canonical odd symplectic structure**:

$$\{x^i, \theta_j\} = \delta_j^i, \{x^i, x^j\} = 0, \{\theta_i, \theta_j\} = 0.$$



$$\underbrace{F(x, \theta)}_{\text{function on } \Pi T^*M} = \underbrace{F(x) + F^i(x)\theta_i + F^{ij}\theta_i\theta_j + \dots + F^{1\dots n}\theta_1\dots\theta_n}_{\text{multivector field on } M}$$

$$\underbrace{\omega(x, \xi)}_{\text{function on } \Pi TM} = \underbrace{\omega(x) + \omega_i(x)\xi^i + \omega_{ij}\xi^i\xi^j + \dots + \omega_{1\dots n}\xi^1\dots\xi^n}_{\text{differential form on } M}$$

Space of differential forms  $\leftrightarrow$  Space of functions on  $\Pi TM$

$$\text{e.g. } \omega(x)_{ik} dx^i \wedge dx^k \mapsto \omega(x, \xi) = \omega(x)_{ik} \xi^i \xi^k$$

$$\underbrace{F(x, \theta)}_{\text{function on } \Pi T^*M} = \underbrace{F(x) + F^i(x)\theta_i + F^{ij}\theta_i\theta_j + \dots + F^{1\dots n}\theta_1\dots\theta_n}_{\text{multivector field on } M}$$

$$\underbrace{\omega(x, \xi)}_{\text{function on } \Pi TM} = \underbrace{\omega(x) + \omega_i(x)\xi^i + \omega_{ij}\xi^i\xi^j + \dots + \omega_{1\dots n}\xi^1\dots\xi^n}_{\text{differential form on } M}$$

Space of differential forms  $\leftrightarrow$  Space of functions on  $\Pi TM$

$$\text{e.g. } \omega(x)_{ik} dx^i \wedge dx^k \mapsto \omega(x, \xi) = \omega(x)_{ik} \xi^i \xi^k$$

Space of multivector fields  $\leftrightarrow$  Space of functions on  $\Pi T^*M$

$$\text{e.g. } F^{ik}(x) \partial_i \wedge \partial_k \mapsto F(x, \theta) = F^{ik}(x) \theta_i \theta_k$$

$$\underbrace{F(x, \theta)}_{\text{function on } \Pi T^*M} = \underbrace{F(x) + F^i(x)\theta_i + F^{ij}\theta_i\theta_j + \dots + F^{1\dots n}\theta_1\dots\theta_n}_{\text{multivector field on } M}$$

$$\underbrace{\omega(x, \xi)}_{\text{function on } \Pi TM} = \underbrace{\omega(x) + \omega_i(x)\xi^i + \omega_{ij}\xi^i\xi^j + \dots + \omega_{1\dots n}\xi^1\dots\xi^n}_{\text{differential form on } M}$$

Space of differential forms  $\leftrightarrow$  Space of functions on  $\Pi TM$

$$\text{e.g. } \omega(x)_{ik} dx^i \wedge dx^k \mapsto \omega(x, \xi) = \omega(x)_{ik} \xi^i \xi^k$$

Space of multivector fields  $\leftrightarrow$  Space of functions on  $\Pi T^*M$

$$\text{e.g. } F^{ik}(x) \partial_i \wedge \partial_k \mapsto F(x, \theta) = F^{ik}(x) \theta_i \theta_k$$

Differential forms  $\leftrightarrow$  ??? on  $\Pi T^*M$

## Multivector densities $\leftrightarrow$ differential forms

Let  $\sigma$  be **density** on  $M$  ( $\approx \sigma = \sigma(x) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \dots \wedge dx^n$   $n$ -form)

Let  $\mathbf{F} = F^k(x) \partial_k$  be vector field on  $M$  (i.e. function

$F(x, \theta) = F^k(x) \theta_k$  on  $\Pi T^*M$ ).

$$\text{Vector density } F \otimes \sigma = F^k(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \sigma(x) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$$

defines  $n - 1$  form  $\omega = *(F\sigma)$

$$\omega(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{n-1}) = \sigma(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{n-1})$$

$k$ -multivector density on  $M \leftrightarrow n - k$ -form on  $M$

## Multivector densities $\leftrightarrow$ differential forms

Let  $\sigma$  be **density** on  $M$  ( $\approx \sigma = \sigma(x) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \dots \wedge dx^n$   $n$ -form)

Let  $\mathbf{F} = F^k(x) \partial_k$  be vector field on  $M$  (i.e. function

$F(x, \theta) = F^k(x) \theta_k$  on  $\Pi T^*M$ ).

$$\text{Vector density } F \otimes \sigma = F^k(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \sigma(x) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$$

defines  $n - 1$  form  $\omega = *(F\sigma)$

$$\omega(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{n-1}) = \sigma(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{n-1})$$

$k$ -multivector density on  $M \leftrightarrow n - k$ -form on  $M$

$$\underbrace{\omega(x, \xi)}_{\text{function on } \Pi TM} = \int \underbrace{\sigma(x) F(x, \theta)}_{\text{??? on } \Pi T^*M} e^{\xi^k \theta_k} d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_n$$

## Multivector densities and semidensities

$$\begin{cases} x^i = x^i(\tilde{x}^1, \dots, \tilde{x}^n) \\ \theta_j = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^m(x^1, \dots, x^n)}{\partial x^j} \tilde{\theta}_m \end{cases} \quad \text{changing of local coordinates on } \Pi T^*M$$

$$\text{Ber} \left( \frac{\partial(x, \theta)}{\partial(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta})} \right) = \text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \tilde{x}^k} & \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \tilde{x}^k} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{x}^m}{\partial \tilde{x}^j} \theta_m \\ 0 & \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^m(x)}{\partial x^j} \end{pmatrix} = \left( \det \left( \frac{\partial x(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right) \right)^2$$

$$\sigma(x)F(x, \theta) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n = \sigma(x(\tilde{x})) \det \left( \frac{\partial x(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right) d\tilde{x}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\tilde{x}^n$$

Multivector density  $\sigma(x)F(x, \theta)$  is **semidensity (half-density)** on  $\Pi T^*M$

## Differential forms and semidensities

### Semidensity

$$s(x, \theta) \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)} = s\left(x(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta}), \theta(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta})\right) \left( \text{Ber} \left( \frac{\partial(x, \theta)}{\partial(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta})} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta})}$$

Differential form on  $M$  = Function on  $\Pi TM \leftrightarrow$  Semidensity on  $\Pi T^*M$

$$\omega(x, \xi) = \int s(x, \theta) e^{\xi^k \theta_k} d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_n$$

$$s(x, \theta) \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)} = \int \omega(x, \xi) e^{\xi^k \theta_k} d\xi^1 d\xi^2 \dots d\xi^n$$

## Odd canonical transformations of $\Pi T^*M$

$$\{x^i, \theta_j\} = \delta_j^i, \{x^i, x^j\} = 0, \{\theta_i, \theta_j\} = 0$$

$$\begin{cases} x^i = x^i(\tilde{x}^1, \dots, \tilde{x}^n) & \text{odd canonic. transformation} \\ \theta_j = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^m(x^1, \dots, x^n)}{\partial x^j} \tilde{\theta}_m & \text{corresponding to diffeomorphisms of } M \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} x^i = \tilde{x}^i + f^i(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta}) & (f^i|_{\theta=0} = 0) & \text{odd canonic. transformation} \\ \theta_j = \tilde{\theta}_j + g^j(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta}) & (g^j|_{\theta=0} = 0) & \text{identical on } M \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} x^i = \tilde{x}^i & \text{special} \\ \theta_j = \tilde{\theta}_j + \Psi_j(\tilde{x}) & (\partial_k \Psi_j - \partial_j \Psi_k = 0) & \text{canon. transformation} \end{cases}$$

An arbitrary odd canonical transformation can be considered as a composition of these transformations. (Kh.2000)



## De Rham differential in $\Pi T^*M$

Diff. forms = functions on  $\Pi TM$

De Rham differential = linear operator on function on  $\Pi TM$ :

$$d\omega = \xi^i \frac{\partial \omega(x, \xi)}{\partial x^i}$$

Function on  $\Pi TM \xrightarrow{\tau} \text{semidensities on } \Pi T^*M$

$d \downarrow$

$\Delta^\# \downarrow$

Function on  $\Pi TM \xrightarrow{\tau} \text{semidensities on } \Pi T^*M$

$$\Delta^\#(\tau(\omega)) = \tau(d(\omega)),$$

$$d = \xi^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \text{ exterior differential}$$

## De Rham differential in $\Pi T^*M$

Diff. forms = functions on  $\Pi TM$

De Rham differential = linear operator on function on  $\Pi TM$ :

$$d\omega = \xi^i \frac{\partial \omega(x, \xi)}{\partial x^i}$$

Function on  $\Pi TM \xrightarrow{\tau} \text{semidensities on } \Pi T^*M$

$d \downarrow$

$\Delta^\# \downarrow$

Function on  $\Pi TM \xrightarrow{\tau} \text{semidensities on } \Pi T^*M$

$$\Delta^\#(\tau(\omega)) = \tau(d(\omega)),$$

$$d = \xi^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \text{ exterior differential}$$

Diffeomorphisms of  $M \subset \text{canonical transformations of } \Pi T^*M$

## Example

Let  $\omega = a dx^1 + b dx^2$  on  $M^2$ , i.e.  $\omega(x, \xi) = a \xi^1 + b \xi^2$  function on  $\Pi T M$ . Then semidensity  $\mathbf{s} = \tau(\omega)$  on  $\Pi T^* M^2$  equals to

$$\left( \int (a \xi^1 + b \xi^2) e^{\xi^1 \theta_1 + \xi^2 \theta_2} d\xi^1 d\xi^2 \right) \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)} =$$

$$(a \theta_2 - b \theta_1) \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)}$$

## Example

Let  $\omega = a dx^1 + b dx^2$  on  $M^2$ , i.e.  $\omega(x, \xi) = a \xi^1 + b \xi^2$  function on  $\Pi T M$ . Then semidensity  $\mathbf{s} = \tau(\omega)$  on  $\Pi T^* M^2$  equals to

$$\left( \int (a \xi^1 + b \xi^2) e^{\xi^1 \theta_1 + \xi^2 \theta_2} d\xi^1 d\xi^2 \right) \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)} =$$

$$(a \theta_2 - b \theta_1) \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)}$$

$$d\omega = \left( \frac{\partial b}{\partial x^1} - \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^2} \right) dx^1 \wedge dx^2$$

$$\Delta^\# (a \theta_2 - b \theta_1) \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)} = \tau(d\omega) =$$

$$\tau \left( \left( \frac{\partial b}{\partial x^1} - \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^2} \right) \xi^1 \xi^2 \right) = \left( -\frac{\partial b}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^2} \right)$$

## Canonical odd Laplacian on semidensities

Let  $E$  be  $(n|n)$ -dimensional odd symplectic superspace.

$(x^i, \theta_k)$  are Darboux coordinates if

$$\{x^i, \theta_j\} = \delta_j^i, \{x^i, x^j\} = 0, \{\theta_i, \theta_j\} = 0.$$

Then one can define the following canonical operator on semidensities

$$\Delta^\# \mathbf{s} = \frac{\partial^2 s(x, \theta)}{\partial x^i \partial \theta_i} \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)},$$

where  $\mathbf{s} = s(x, \theta) \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)}$  is an expression of semidensity  $s$  in

## Canonical odd Laplacian on semidensities

Let  $E$  be  $(n|n)$ -dimensional odd symplectic superspace.

$(x^i, \theta_k)$  are **Darboux coordinates** if

$$\{x^i, \theta_j\} = \delta_j^i, \{x^i, x^j\} = 0, \{\theta_i, \theta_j\} = 0.$$

Then one can define the following canonical operator on semidensities

$$\Delta^\# \mathbf{s} = \frac{\partial^2 s(x, \theta)}{\partial x^i \partial \theta_i} \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)},$$

where  $\mathbf{s} = s(x, \theta) \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)}$  is an expression of semidensity  $s$  in **Darboux coordinates** (Kh. 1999).

## Canonical odd Laplacian on semidensities

Let  $E$  be  $(n|n)$ -dimensional odd symplectic superspace.

$(x^i, \theta_k)$  are **Darboux coordinates** if

$$\{x^i, \theta_j\} = \delta_j^i, \{x^i, x^j\} = 0, \{\theta_i, \theta_j\} = 0.$$

Then one can define the following canonical operator on semidensities

$$\Delta^\# \mathbf{s} = \frac{\partial^2 s(x, \theta)}{\partial x^i \partial \theta_i} \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)},$$

where  $\mathbf{s} = s(x, \theta) \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)}$  is an expression of semidensity  $s$  in **Darboux coordinates** (Kh. 1999).

Canonical odd Laplacian can be considered as a geometrically rightly viewed expression for Batalin-Vilkovisky operator.

## Batalin-Vilkovisky $\Delta$ -operator

In 1981 I. Batalin and G. Vilkovisky considered the following second-order operator acting on functions on an odd symplectic superspace:

$$\Delta_0 F(x, \theta) = \frac{\partial^2 F(x, \theta)}{\partial x^a \partial \theta_a},$$

where  $(x^a, \theta_a)$  are arbitrary Darboux coordinates on the odd symplectic superspace. This second order operator is invariant under arbitrary canonical transformations which preserve volume form  $dx^1 \dots dx^n d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_n$

$$\underbrace{\{x^1, \dots, x^n; \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}}_{\text{Darboux coordinates}} \rightarrow \underbrace{\{\tilde{x}^1, \dots, \tilde{x}^n; \tilde{\theta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\theta}_n\}}_{\text{Darboux coordinates}} \text{ such that}$$

$$\text{Ber} \frac{\partial(x, \theta)}{\partial(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta})} = 1.$$



## Batalin-Vilkovisky identity

For an arbitrary **odd** canonical transformation

$$\text{Ber} \frac{\partial(x, \theta)}{\partial(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta})} \neq 1.$$

This difference with an even canonical transformation is a reason why second order Laplacian arises.

On the other hand the following identity is obeyed:

$$\Delta_0 \sqrt{\left( \text{Ber} \frac{\partial(x', \theta')}{\partial(x, \theta)} \right)} = 0.$$

This highly non-trivial identity obtained by Batalin and Vilkovisky is a core part of  $\Delta$ -operators properties.

## Invariant construction for BV $\Delta$ -operator

$$\Delta_{\rho} F = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathcal{L}_{D_F} \rho}{\rho} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}_{\rho} D_F =$$

## Invariant construction for BV $\Delta$ -operator

$$\Delta_{\rho} F = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathcal{L}_{D_F} \rho}{\rho} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}_{\rho} D_F = \frac{\partial^2 F(x, \theta)}{\partial x^a \partial \theta_a} + \frac{1}{2} \{\log \rho, F\},$$

## Invariant construction for BV $\Delta$ -operator

$$\Delta_{\rho} F = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathcal{L}_{D_F} \rho}{\rho} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}_{\rho} D_F = \frac{\partial^2 F(x, \theta)}{\partial x^a \partial \theta_a} + \frac{1}{2} \{\log \rho, F\},$$

where  $\rho = \rho(x, \theta) \mathcal{D}(x, \theta)$ —density (volume form)

## Invariant construction for BV $\Delta$ -operator

$$\Delta_\rho F = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathcal{L}_{D_F} \rho}{\rho} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}_\rho D_F = \frac{\partial^2 F(x, \theta)}{\partial x^a \partial \theta_a} + \frac{1}{2} \{\log \rho, F\},$$

where  $\rho = \rho(x, \theta) \mathcal{D}(x, \theta)$ —density (volume form)

$$D_F = \{f, x^a\} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + \{f, \theta_a\} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_a}$$
—Hamiltonian vector field

$$\Delta_\rho = \Delta_0, \text{ if } \rho = \mathcal{D}(x, \theta).$$

(Kh. 1989)

## Properties of $\Delta$ – *operator*. BV master-equation

Let  $\rho = \rho(x, \theta)\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)$  be a density (volume form) in odd symplectic superspace,  $((x^i, \theta_j)$  Darboux coordinates).

## Properties of $\Delta$ – operator. BV master-equation

Let  $\rho = \rho(x, \theta)\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)$  be a density (volume form) in odd symplectic superspace,  $((x^i, \theta_j)$  Darboux coordinates).

a) there exist another Darboux coordinates  $\{\tilde{x}^i, \tilde{\theta}_j\}$  such that in these coordinates

$$\rho(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta}) = 1.$$

## Properties of $\Delta$ – operator. BV master-equation

Let  $\rho = \rho(x, \theta)\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)$  be a density (volume form) in odd symplectic superspace,  $((x^i, \theta_j)$  Darboux coordinates).

a) there exist another Darboux coordinates  $\{\tilde{x}^i, \tilde{\theta}_j\}$  such that in these coordinates

$$\rho(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta}) = 1.$$

b)

$$\Delta_0 \sqrt{\rho(x, \theta)} = 0$$



## Properties of $\Delta$ – operator. BV master-equation

Let  $\rho = \rho(x, \theta)\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)$  be a density (volume form) in odd symplectic superspace,  $((x^i, \theta_j)$  Darboux coordinates).

a) there exist another Darboux coordinates  $\{\tilde{x}^i, \tilde{\theta}_j\}$  such that in these coordinates

$$\rho(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta}) = 1.$$

b)

$$\Delta_0 \sqrt{\rho(x, \theta)} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \Delta^\# \sqrt{\rho} = 0.$$

Batalin-Vilkovisky master-equation for the master action

$$S = \log \sqrt{\rho}.$$

c)

$$\Delta_\rho^2 = 0.$$

## Properties of $\Delta$ – operator. BV master-equation

Let  $\rho = \rho(x, \theta)\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)$  be a density (volume form) in odd symplectic superspace,  $((x^i, \theta_j)$  Darboux coordinates).

a) there exist another Darboux coordinates  $\{\tilde{x}^i, \tilde{\theta}_j\}$  such that in these coordinates

$$\rho(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta}) = 1.$$

b)

$$\Delta_0 \sqrt{\rho(x, \theta)} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \Delta^\# \sqrt{\rho} = 0.$$

Batalin-Vilkovisky master-equation for the master action

$$S = \log \sqrt{\rho}.$$

c)

$$\Delta_\rho^2 = 0.$$

These conditions are equivalent (under some technical assumptions)  
(Kh., A. Nersessian, 1991–1993)

## Properties of $\Delta$ – operator. BV master-equation

Let  $\rho = \rho(x, \theta)\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)$  be a density (volume form) in odd symplectic superspace,  $((x^i, \theta_j)$  Darboux coordinates).

a) there exist another Darboux coordinates  $\{\tilde{x}^i, \tilde{\theta}_j\}$  such that in these coordinates

$$\rho(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta}) = 1.$$

b)

$$\Delta_0 \sqrt{\rho(x, \theta)} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \Delta^\# \sqrt{\rho} = 0.$$

Batalin-Vilkovisky master-equation for the master action

$$S = \log \sqrt{\rho}.$$

c)

$$\Delta_\rho^2 = 0.$$

These conditions are equivalent (under some technical assumptions) (Kh., A. Nersessian, 1991–1993), (A. Schwarz—1993).

## Odd Laplacians on functions and on densities

$$\left(\Delta^\#\right)^2 = 0.$$

Let  $\rho$  be a density (volume form) on an odd symplectic superspace.

Then for an arbitrary function  $F = F(x, \theta)$

$$\Delta^\#(F\sqrt{\rho}) = (\Delta_\rho F)\sqrt{\rho} + (-1)^p(F)\Delta^\#\sqrt{\rho}.$$

$$\Delta_\rho^2 F = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} \Delta^\#\sqrt{\rho}, F \right\}.$$

## Odd Laplacians on functions and on densities

$$\left(\Delta^\#\right)^2 = 0.$$

Let  $\rho$  be a density (volume form) on an odd symplectic superspace.

Then for an arbitrary function  $F = F(x, \theta)$

$$\Delta^\#(F\sqrt{\rho}) = (\Delta_\rho F)\sqrt{\rho} + (-1)^p(F)F\Delta^\#\sqrt{\rho}.$$

$$\Delta_\rho^2 F = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} \Delta^\# \sqrt{\rho}, F \right\}.$$

A scalar  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} \Delta^\# \sqrt{\rho}$  is a scalar curvature of a connection which is compatible with the symplectic structure and the volume form (I. Batalin, K. Bering 2006.)

## Batalin-Vilkovisky identity (revisited)

Consider semidensity  $\mathbf{s} = 1 \cdot \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)}$ . By construction

$$\Delta^\# \mathbf{s} = (\Delta^\# \mathbf{s}) \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)} = \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial \theta_i} 1 \right) \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)} =$$

## Batalin-Vilkovisky identity (revisited)

Consider semidensity  $\mathbf{s} = 1 \cdot \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)}$ . By construction

$$\Delta^{\#} \mathbf{s} = \left( \Delta^{\#} \mathbf{s} \right) \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)} = \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial \theta_i} 1 \right) \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)} = 0.$$

In new Darboux coordinates  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta})$

$$\mathbf{s} = 1 \cdot \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(x, \theta)} = \sqrt{\left( \text{Ber} \frac{\partial(x, \theta)}{\partial(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta})} \right)} \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta})},$$

$$\Delta^{\#} \mathbf{s} = 0 = \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x}^i \partial \tilde{\theta}_i} \sqrt{\left( \text{Ber} \frac{\partial(x, \theta)}{\partial(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta})} \right)} \right) \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta})}.$$

Batalin-Vilkovisky identity:  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x}^i \partial \tilde{\theta}_i} \sqrt{\left( \text{Ber} \frac{\partial(x, \theta)}{\partial(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta})} \right)} = 0.$

What is linear algebra reasoning of these phenomena?



## Recalling: Pfaffian of matrix

Let  $K$  be an antisymmetrical matrix:

$$K^+ = -K.$$

Then

$$\det K = (\text{Pf}(K))^2, \quad \sqrt{\det K} = \text{Pf}(K),$$

where  $\text{Pf}(K)$ , **Pfaffian of matrix  $K$**  is a polynomial of entries of matrix  $K$

## Examples

If  $m$  is an odd number then  $\text{Pf}(K) = 0$ , since  $\det K = 0$ :

$$\det K^+ = \det K = (-1)^m \det K = -\det K.$$

$$m = 2$$

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ -a & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\det K = a^2, \text{Pf}(K) = \sqrt{\det K} = a$$

Examples ( $m = 4$ )

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b & c \\ -a & 0 & d & e \\ -b & -d & 0 & f \\ -c & -e & -f & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \det K = (af + cd - be)^2$$

$$\text{Pf}(K) = af + cd - be = K_{12}K_{34} + K_{14}K_{23} - K_{13}K_{24}.$$

Examples ( $m = 4$ )

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b & c \\ -a & 0 & d & e \\ -b & -d & 0 & f \\ -c & -e & -f & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \det K = (af + cd - be)^2$$

$$\text{Pf}(K) = af + cd - be = K_{12}K_{34} + K_{14}K_{23} - K_{13}K_{24}.$$

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_x & E_y & E_z \\ -E_x & 0 & H_z & -H_y \\ -E_y & -H_z & 0 & H_x \\ -E_z & H_y & -H_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Pf}(F) = \sqrt{\det F} = E_x H_x + E_y H_y + E_z H_z = \mathbf{EH}$$

Examples ( $m = 4$ )

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b & c \\ -a & 0 & d & e \\ -b & -d & 0 & f \\ -c & -e & -f & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \det K = (af + cd - be)^2$$

$$\text{Pf}(K) = af + cd - be = K_{12}K_{34} + K_{14}K_{23} - K_{13}K_{24}.$$

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_x & E_y & E_z \\ -E_x & 0 & H_z & -H_y \\ -E_y & -H_z & 0 & H_x \\ -E_z & H_y & -H_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Pf}(F) = \sqrt{\det F} = E_x H_x + E_y H_y + E_z H_z = \mathbf{EH}$$

$$F \wedge F = \text{Pf}(F) dx^0 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3$$

## Odd canonical transformations

$n|n$ -dimensional odd symplectic superspace:

$$\{x^1, \dots, x^n; \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}$$

$$\omega = dx^a d\theta_a \quad (*)$$

$$\{f, g\} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_a} + (-1)^{p(f)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_a} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^a} \quad (**)$$

$$\{x^a, \theta_b\} = \delta_b^a, \{x^a, x^b\} = 0, \{\theta_a, \theta_b\} = 0,$$

$\{x^1, \dots, x^n; \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}$  are Darboux coordinates

Odd canonical transformations preserve the form (\*)  
(the odd Poisson bracket (\*\*))

## Linear odd canonical transformation

$$(x, \theta) \rightarrow (y, \eta) = (x, \theta) \begin{pmatrix} A & \mathcal{B} \\ \mathcal{C} & D \end{pmatrix}, \begin{cases} y^a = x^b A_b^a + \theta_b \mathcal{C}_a^b \\ \eta_a = x^b \mathcal{B}_{ba} + \theta_b D_a^b \end{cases}$$

where entries of  $n \times n$  matrices  $A$  and  $D$  are even numbers (even elements of a Grassmann algebra), and entries of  $n \times n$  matrices  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  are odd numbers (odd elements of a Grassmann algebra) and the following conditions are obeyed:

$$\begin{cases} A^+ \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{C}^+ A = 0 \\ D^+ \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^+ D \\ A^+ D + \mathcal{C}^+ \mathcal{B} = 1 \end{cases}$$

$n|n \times n|n$  matrix  $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & \mathcal{B} \\ \mathcal{C} & D \end{pmatrix}$  is an even matrix.

## Group and algebra of linear odd canonical transformations

Supergroup  $\Pi Sp(n|n)$  and superalgebra  $\pi sp(n|n)$ .

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ \mathcal{C} & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Pi Sp(n|n) \quad \text{if} \quad \begin{cases} A^+ \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{C}^+ A = 0 \\ D^+ B = B^+ D \\ A^+ D + \mathcal{C}^+ B = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & \beta \\ \gamma & d \end{pmatrix} \in \pi sp(n|n) \quad \text{if} \quad \begin{cases} \gamma + \gamma^+ = 0 \\ d^+ = d \\ a^+ + d = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$K = e^M \in \Pi Sp(n|n) \text{ if } M \in \pi sp(n|n).$$

(  $K, M$  even  $n|n \times n|n$  matrices)



## Examples

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} A & \mathcal{B} \\ \mathcal{C} & D \end{pmatrix} : \quad \begin{cases} A^+ \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{C}^+ A = 0 \\ D^+ \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^+ D \\ A^+ D + \mathcal{C}^+ \mathcal{B} = 1 \end{cases}$$

---

## Examples

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} A & \mathcal{B} \\ \mathcal{C} & D \end{pmatrix} : \begin{cases} A^+ \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{C}^+ A = 0 \\ D^+ \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^+ D \\ A^+ D + \mathcal{C}^+ \mathcal{B} = 1 \end{cases}$$

---


$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \quad A^+ D = 1$$

## Examples

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ \mathcal{C} & D \end{pmatrix} : \begin{cases} A^+ \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{C}^+ A = 0 \\ D^+ B = B^+ D \\ A^+ D + \mathcal{C}^+ B = 1 \end{cases}$$

---


$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \quad A^+ D = 1$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 + B\mathcal{C} & B \\ \mathcal{C} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad B^+ = B, \mathcal{C}^+ = -\mathcal{C}.$$

## Berezinian of an odd canon.transform

Recall formulae for Berezinian (superdeterminant)

$$\text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} A & \mathcal{B} \\ \mathcal{C} & D \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\det(A - \mathcal{B}D^{-1}\mathcal{C})}{\det D},$$

$$\text{Ber} e \begin{pmatrix} a & \beta \\ \gamma & d \end{pmatrix} = e^{\text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} a & \beta \\ \gamma & d \end{pmatrix}} = e^{\text{tr}a - \text{tr}d}$$

In a drastic difference to the even case odd canonical transformations **do not preserve** a volume form.

Berezinian of an odd canonical transformation in general is not equal to unity. If  $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & \beta \\ \gamma & d \end{pmatrix} \in \pi sp(n|n)$  then for matrix  $K = e^M \in \Pi Sp(n|n)$

$$\text{Ber } e^M = e^{\text{Tr}M} = e^{\text{tr}a - \text{tr}d} = e^{2\text{tr}a}, \text{ since } a^+ + d = 0.$$

Example

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}, K = e^M = \begin{pmatrix} e^a & 0 \\ 0 & e^d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix},$$

$$a^+ + d = 0 \Rightarrow A^+ D = 1$$

$$\text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\det A}{\det D} = \frac{\det A}{\det(A^+)^{-1}} = \det A^2$$

## Fact from linear algebra

### Theorem

Let  $K = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ \mathcal{C} & D \end{pmatrix}$ , be a matrix of a linear odd canonical transformation. Then

$$\text{Ber } K = (\det A)^2, \sqrt{\text{Ber } A} = \det A.$$

Polynomial  $\det A$  is a square root of Berezinian of odd canonical transformation  $K$  ("pfaffian of  $K$ ").

$$K = K_1 K_2 = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & B_1 \\ C_1 & D_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & B_2 \\ C_2 & D_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 A_2 + B_1 C_2 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Ber } K = \text{Ber } K_1 \text{Ber } K_2$$

$$\det(A_1 A_2 + B_1 C_2) = \det A_1 \det A_2$$

# Proof

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ \mathcal{C} & D \end{pmatrix} : \quad \begin{cases} A^+ \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{C}^+ A = 0 \\ D^+ B = B^+ D \\ A^+ D + \mathcal{C}^+ B = 1 \end{cases}$$

---



## Proof

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} A & \mathcal{B} \\ \mathcal{C} & D \end{pmatrix} : \begin{cases} A^+ \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{C}^+ A = 0 \\ D^+ \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^+ D \\ A^+ D + \mathcal{C}^+ \mathcal{B} = 1 \end{cases}$$

---


$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \quad A^+ D = 1$$

## Proof

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} A & \mathcal{B} \\ \mathcal{C} & D \end{pmatrix} : \begin{cases} A^+ \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{C}^+ A = 0 \\ D^+ \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^+ D \\ A^+ D + \mathcal{C}^+ \mathcal{B} = 1 \end{cases}$$

---


$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \quad A^+ D = 1$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 + \mathcal{B}\mathcal{C} & \mathcal{B} \\ \mathcal{C} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathcal{B}^+ = \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}^+ = -\mathcal{C}.$$

## Proof...

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A' & B \\ \mathcal{L} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

## Proof...

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A' & B \\ \mathcal{C} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & (A^+)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + B\mathcal{C} & B \\ \mathcal{C} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

## Proof...

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A' & B \\ \mathcal{C} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & (A^+)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \mathcal{B}\mathcal{C} & B \\ \mathcal{C} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

One can show that  $\det(1 + \mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}) = 1$  since  $\text{Tr}^k(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ber } K &= \text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & (A^+)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \mathcal{B}\mathcal{C} & B \\ \mathcal{C} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{\det A}{\det(A^+)^{-1}} \frac{\det(1 + \mathcal{B}\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{C})}{\det 1} = \det A^2. \end{aligned}$$

## Batalin-Vilkovisky identity (re-revisited)

Consider transformation from Darboux coordinates  $(x, \theta)$  to Darboux coordinates  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta})$ .

$$K = \frac{\partial(x, \theta)}{\partial(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta})} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \tilde{x}} & \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \tilde{x}} \\ \frac{\partial x}{\partial \tilde{\theta}} & \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \tilde{\theta}} \end{pmatrix} \in \Pi Sp(n|n).$$

$$\sqrt{\text{Ber } K} = \sqrt{\text{Ber} \frac{\partial(x, \theta)}{\partial(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta})}} = \det \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \tilde{x}^j}.$$

$$\Delta_0 \left( \sqrt{\text{Ber} \frac{\partial(x, \theta)}{\partial(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta})}} \right) =$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x}^i \partial \tilde{\theta}_i} \left( \sqrt{\text{Ber} \frac{\partial(x, \theta)}{\partial(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta})}} \right) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x}^i \partial \tilde{\theta}_i} \left( \det \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \tilde{x}^j} \right) = 0.$$

**Question:** How to describe canonical  $\Delta^\#$  operator in invariant way?

(The original formula  $\Delta^\# \mathbf{s} = \frac{\partial^2 s(x, \theta)}{\partial x^a \partial \theta_a} \sqrt{dx^1 \dots dx^p d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_p}$  is written in Darboux coordinates).

**Question:** How to describe canonical  $\Delta^\#$  operator in invariant way?

(The original formula  $\Delta^\# \mathbf{s} = \frac{\partial^2 s(x, \theta)}{\partial x^a \partial \theta_a} \sqrt{dx^1 \dots dx^p d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_p}$  is written in Darboux coordinates).

In 2006 K. Bering wrote the explicit expression for  $\Delta^\#$  operator in an arbitrary coordinates in terms of components of 2-form defining symplectic structure. He proved by straightforward calculations that this expression defines invariant operator which coincides with  $\Delta^\#$ -operator.

(See K. Bering "A Note on Semidensities in Antisymplectic Geometry".hep-th/0604)



## Severa's spectral sequence

In 2005 P. Severa constructed the remarkable spectral sequence which contains as ingredients semidensities and  $\Delta^\#$ -operator. Thus he finds a natural definition of this 'somewhat miraculous operator'. (See P. Severa "On the origin of the BV operator..." (math/050633))

Let  $M$  be  $n|n$ -dimensional manifold with **symplectic structure defined by odd non-degenerate closed two form  $\omega$** .

Let  $\Omega(M)$  be a space of all (pseudo)differential forms on  $M$ , i.e. functions on  $\Pi TM$ .

Consider differential  $Q = d + \omega$ . For any  $F$ -function on  $\Pi TM$  (differential form on  $E$ )  $QF = dF + \omega F$ .

One can see that

$$Q^2 = d^2 = \omega^2 = 0, d\omega + \omega d = 0$$

Spectral sequence  $\{E_r, d_r\}$

$$E_{r+1} = H(E_r, d_r)$$

with  $E_0 = \Omega(M)$ ,  $d_0 = \omega$ .

**Theorem**

*The space  $E_1 = H(\Omega(M), \omega)$  can be naturally identified with the space of semidensities on  $M$ .*

Spectral sequence  $\{E_r, d_r\}$ 

$$E_{r+1} = H(E_r, d_r)$$

with  $E_0 = \Omega(M)$ ,  $d_0 = \omega$ .

## Theorem

*The space  $E_1 = H(\Omega(M), \omega)$  can be naturally identified with the space of semidensities on  $M$ .*

Elements of cohomology space  $E_1 = H(\Omega(M), \omega)$  are represented in Darboux coordinates as classes  $s(x, \theta)[dx^1 \dots dx^n]$ . Under a change of Darboux coordinates  $(x, \theta) \rightarrow (\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta})$

$$[dx^1 \dots dx^n] \rightarrow \underbrace{\det \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right)}$$

Spectral sequence  $\{E_r, d_r\}$ 

$$E_{r+1} = H(E_r, d_r)$$

with  $E_0 = \Omega(M)$ ,  $d_0 = \omega$ .

## Theorem

*The space  $E_1 = H(\Omega(M), \omega)$  can be naturally identified with the space of semidensities on  $M$ .*

Elements of cohomology space  $E_1 = H(\Omega(M), \omega)$  are represented in Darboux coordinates as classes  $s(x, \theta)[dx^1 \dots dx^n]$ . Under a change of Darboux coordinates  $(x, \theta) \rightarrow (\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta})$

$$[dx^1 \dots dx^n] \rightarrow \underbrace{\det \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right)}_{\sqrt{\text{Ber} \frac{\partial(x, \theta)}{\partial(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta})}}} [d\tilde{x}^1 \dots d\tilde{x}^n]$$

## Theorem

*With identification of  $E_1$  with semidensities the differential  $d_2$  of the Severa's spectral sequence vanishes and differential  $d_3$  coincides with the canonical operator  $\Delta^\#$ .*

*The spectral sequence degenerates at the term  $E_3$ .*

## Theorem

*With identification of  $E_1$  with semidensities the differential  $d_2$  of the Severa's spectral sequence vanishes and differential  $d_3$  coincides with the canonical operator  $\Delta^\#$ .*

*The spectral sequence degenerates at the term  $E_3$ .*

**Remark** Odd symplectic manifold is symplectomorphic to  $\Pi T^*N$ , where  $N$  is  $(n, 0)$ -dimensional Lagrangian surface in  $M$ .  $Q = d + \omega$  is twisted differential:

$$QF = e^{-\Theta} de^\Theta F,$$

where  $d\Theta = \omega$ ,  $(\Theta = \theta_a dx^a)$ , Hence

$$H(Q, \Omega(M)) = H(d, M) = H_{\text{de Rham}}(N)$$

A.Schwarz, I.Shapiro Twisted de Rham cohomology,  
homological definition of integral and "Physics over ring"  
arXiv;0809.0086 [math.AG]

Thank you