

# Thick morphisms and higher Koszul brackets

Hovhannes Khudaverdian

University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

GAP XIV

séminaire itinérant “Géométrie et Physique”

8 August—12 August, Sheffield, UK

*The talk is based on the work with Ted Voronov*

# Contents

Abstracts

Poisson manifold and....

Higher brackets

Thick morphisms

## Papers that talk is based on are

- [1] H.M.Khudaverdian, Th. Voronov *Higher Poisson brackets and differential forms*, 2008a In: Geometric Methods in Physics. AIP Conference Proceedings 1079, American Institute of Physics, Melville, New York, 2008, 203-215., arXiv: 0808.3406
- [2] Th. Voronov, *Nonlinear pullback on functions and a formal category extending the category of supermanifolds*], arXiv: 1409.6475
- [3] Th. Voronov, *Microformal geometry*, arXiv: 1411.6720

## Abstract...

For an arbitrary manifold  $M$ , we consider supermanifolds  $\Pi TM$  and  $\Pi T^*M$ , where  $\Pi$  is the parity reversion functor. The space  $\Pi T^*M$  possesses canonical odd Schouten bracket and space  $\Pi TM$  possesses canonical de Rham differential  $d$ . An arbitrary even function  $P$  on  $\Pi T^*M$  such that  $[P, P] = 0$  induces a homotopy Poisson bracket on  $M$ , a differential,  $d_P$  on  $\Pi T^*M$ , and higher Koszul brackets on  $\Pi TM$ . (If  $P$  is fiberwise quadratic, then we arrive at standard structures of Poisson geometry.) Using the language of  $Q$ -manifolds and in particular of Lie algebroids, we study the interplay between canonical structures and structures depending on  $P$ . Then using just recently invented theory of thick morphisms we construct a non-linear map between the  $L_\infty$  algebra of functions on  $\Pi TM$  with higher Koszul brackets and the Lie algebra of functions on  $\Pi T^*M$  with the canonical odd Schouten bracket.



## Poisson manifold

Let  $M$  be Poisson manifold with Poisson tensor  $P = P^{ab} \partial_b \wedge \partial_a$

$$\{f, g\} = \{f, g\}_P = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^a} P^{ab} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^b}.$$

$$\{\{f, g\}, h\} + \{\{g, h\}, f\} + \{\{h, f\}, g\} = 0,$$



$$P^{ar} \partial_r P^{bc} + P^{br} \partial_r P^{ca} + P^{cr} \partial_r P^{ab} = 0.$$

If  $P$  is non-degenerate, then  $\omega = (P^{-1})_{ab} dx^a \wedge dx^b$  is closed non-degenerate form defining symplectic structure on  $M$ .

## Differentials

$d$ —de Rham differential,  $d: \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(M)$ ,

$$d^2 = 0, df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^a} dx^a, \quad d(\omega \wedge \rho) = d\omega \wedge \rho + (-1)^{p(\omega)} \omega \wedge d\rho,$$

$d_P$ —Lichnerowicz- Poisson differential,  $d_P: \mathfrak{A}^k(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^{k+1}(M)$ ,

$$d_P f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^b} P^{ba} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} \text{ (for a function } f = f(x)), d_P^2 = 0,$$

$$d_P P = 0 \leftrightarrow \text{Jacobi identity for odd Poisson bracket } [, ]$$

## Differential forms and multivector fields

$\mathfrak{A}^*$  space of multivector fields on  $M$ ,

$\Omega^*$  space of differential forms on  $M$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathfrak{A}^k(M) & \xrightarrow{d_P} & \mathfrak{A}^{k+1}(M) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \Omega^k(M) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^{k+1}(M)
 \end{array}$$



## Differential forms and multivector fields

$\mathfrak{A}^*$  — multivector fields on  $M$  = functions on  $\Pi T^*M$

$\Omega^*$  — differential forms on  $M$  = functions on  $\Pi TM$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathfrak{A}^k(M) & \xrightarrow{d_P} & \mathfrak{A}^{k+1}(M) & & C(\Pi T^*M) & \xrightarrow{d_P} & C(\Pi T^*M) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \Omega^k(M) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^{k+1}(M) & & C(\Pi TM) & \xrightarrow{d} & C(\Pi TM)
 \end{array}$$

$$d\omega(x, \xi) = \xi^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} \omega(x, \xi), \quad d_P F(x, \theta) = [P, F]_1,$$

$[P, F]_1$ -canonical odd Poisson bracket on  $\Pi T^*M$ .

$x^a = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$  — coordinates on  $M$

$(x^a, \xi^b) = (x^1, \dots, x^n; \xi^1, \dots, \xi^n)$ , —coordinates on  $\Pi TM$

$$\rho(\xi^a) = \rho(x^a) + 1, x^{a'} = x^{a'}(x^a) \rightarrow \xi^{a'} = \xi^a \frac{\partial x^{a'}}{\partial x^a}. \quad (dx^a \leftrightarrow \xi^a).$$

‘ Respectively

$(x^a, \theta_b) = (x^1, \dots, x^n; \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ , —coordinates on  $\Pi T^*M$

$$\rho(\theta_a) = \rho(x^a) + 1, x^{a'} = x^{a'}(x^a) \rightarrow \theta_{a'} = \theta_a \frac{\partial x^a}{\partial x^{a'}}. \quad (\partial_a \leftrightarrow \theta_a).$$

‘

## Example

$$\Omega^* \ni \omega = l_a dx^a + r_{ab} dx^a \wedge dx^b \leftrightarrow \omega(x, \xi) = l_a \xi^a + r_{ab} \xi^a \xi^b \in C(\Pi TM)$$

$$\mathfrak{A}^* \ni F = X^a \partial_a + M^{ab} \partial_a \wedge \partial_b \leftrightarrow F(x, \theta) = X^a \theta_a + M^{ab} \theta_a \theta_b \in C(\Pi T^*M).$$

## Canonical odd Poisson bracket

$F, G$  multivector fields

$[F, G]$  Schouten commutator'

$F, G$  functions on  $\Pi T^*M$

$[F, G]$  odd Poisson bracket'

$$\mathbf{X} = X^a \partial_a, [\mathbf{X}, F] = \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{X}} F$$

$$P = P^{ab} \partial_a \wedge \partial_b, [P, F] = d_P F,$$

$$[\mathbf{X}, F] = [X^a \theta_a, F(x, \theta)]$$

$$d_P F = [P, F] = [P^{ab} \theta_a \theta_b, F(x, \theta)]$$

$$[F(x, \theta), G(x, \theta)] = \frac{\partial F(x, \theta)}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial G(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta_a} + (-1)^{p(F)} \frac{\partial F(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta_a} \frac{\partial G(x, \theta)}{\partial x^a}.$$

odd Poisson bracket

Schouten bracket

Buttin bracket

anti-bracket

Names are

## Koszul bracket on differential forms

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_P: \Pi T^*M &\rightarrow \Pi TM \\ \varphi_P^*: C(\Pi T^*M) &\leftarrow C(\Pi TM), \end{aligned} \quad \xi^a = P^{ab} \theta_b \text{ or } dx^a = P^{ab} \partial_b$$

From bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  on functions to Koszul bracket on diff. forms

$$[\omega, \sigma]_P = (\varphi_P^*)^{-1} ([\varphi_P^*(\omega), \varphi_P^*(\sigma)]_P).$$

$$[f, g]_P = 0, [f, dg]_P = (-1)^{\rho(f)} \{f, g\}_P, [df, dg]_P = (-1)^{\rho(f)} d(\{f, g\}_P)$$

This formula survives the limit if  $P$  is degenerate.

## Question

We have  $\Pi T^*M \xrightarrow{\varphi_P} \Pi TM$

What happens if even function  $P = \frac{1}{2} P^{ab}(x, \theta) \theta_a \theta_b$  is replaced by an arbitrary even function  $P = P(x, \theta)$  which obeys the master-equation

$$[P, P] = 2 \frac{\partial P(x, \theta)}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial P(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta^a} = 0.$$

(In the case  $P = \frac{1}{2} P^{ab}(x, \theta) \theta_a \theta_b$  master-equation is just Jacobi identity for Poisson bracket  $\{, \}_P$  on  $M$ .)

## Master-Hamiltonian $\rightarrow$ brackets (I-st case)

$M$ —(super)manifold. (coordinates ‘ $x = x^a$ ’)

Odd Hamiltonian  $Q(x, p)$  on  $T^*M$ , ( $p = p_b$  fibre coordinates) defines homotopy odd Poisson (Schouten) brackets on  $M$ —collection  $\{\{ \}_Q, \{ , \}_Q, \{ , , \}_Q, \dots\}$  of brackets on  $M$ :

$$\{f\}_Q = (Q, f)|_{p=0}, \quad \{f, g\}_Q = ((Q, f), g)|_{p=0},$$

$$\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}_Q = (((\dots(Q, f_1), f_2), \dots), f_n)|_{p=0}$$

$(, )$ —canonical even Poisson bracket on  $T^*M$ .

$(Q, Q) = 0$ —Jacobi identity,

We come to usual odd Poisson bracket if Hamiltonian is quadratic in momenta,  $Q = Q^{ab} p_a p_b$ .

## Master-Hamiltonian $\rightarrow$ brackets (II-nd case)

Even Hamiltonian  $H(x, \theta)$  on  $\Pi T^*M$ , ( $\theta = \theta_b$  fibre coordinates) defines homotopy Poisson brackets on  $M$ —  
collection  $\{\{ \}_H, \{ , \}_H, \{ , , \}_H, \dots\}$  of brackets on  $M$ :

$$\{f\}_H = [H, f]|_{\theta=0}, \quad \{f, g\}_H = [[H, f], g]|_{\theta=0},$$

$$\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}_H = [[\dots [H, f_1], f_2], \dots, f_n]|_{\theta=0}$$

$[ , ]$ —canonical odd Poisson bracket on  $\Pi T^*M$

$[H, H] = 0$ — Jacobi identity

We come to usual even Poisson bracket if Hamiltonian is quadratic in momenta,  $H = H^{ab}\theta_a\theta_b$ .

## Mackenzie-Xu symplectomorphism

$E \rightarrow B$ —vector bundle. Canonical symplectomorphism (MX-symplectomorphism)

$$T^*E \leftrightarrow T^*E^*$$

Local coordinates

$$\underbrace{\overbrace{x^\mu, u^i}^{\text{coord. on } E}}_{\text{coord. on } T^*E}; p_\mu, p_j,$$

$$\underbrace{\overbrace{y^\nu, u_i}^{\text{coord. on } E^*}}_{\text{coord. on } T^*E^*}; q_\mu, p^k.$$

Then  $\kappa: T^*E \rightarrow T^*E^*$  is such that

$$\kappa^*(y^\mu) = x^\mu, \quad \kappa^*(u_i) = p_i, \quad \kappa^*(q_\mu) = -p_\mu, \quad \kappa^*(p^j) = u^j.$$



## Canonical odd Poisson bracket on $\Pi T^*M$

Consider an odd Hamiltonian  $Q = p_a \eta^a$  on tangent bundle  $T^*(\Pi T^*M)$  to  $\Pi T^*M$ .

$$\text{coordinates } \underbrace{\underbrace{x^a, \theta_b}_{T^*(\Pi T^*M)}; p_a, \eta^b}_{\Pi T^*M}$$

Odd Hamiltonian  $Q = p_a \eta^a$  is quadratic in momenta.

It generates an odd canonical Poisson bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  on  $\Pi T^*M$ :

$$[f, g] = [f, g]_P = ((Q, f), h) =$$

$$= \left( \eta^a \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^a} + p_a \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_a}, g \right) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_a} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_a} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^a}$$

$(\cdot, \cdot)$  is canonical Poisson bracket on  $T^*(\Pi T^*M)$ .

Consider MX symplectomorphism  $T^*(\Pi T^*M) \leftrightarrow T^*(\Pi TM)$ :

$$\underbrace{\overbrace{x^a, \theta_b}^{\Pi T^*M}; \rho_a, \eta^b}_{T^*(\Pi T^*M)} \leftrightarrow \underbrace{\overbrace{x^a, \xi^b}^{\Pi TM}; q_a, \pi_b}_{T^*(\Pi TM)}$$

$$\rho_a \leftrightarrow -q_a, \theta_b \leftrightarrow \pi_b, \eta^a \leftrightarrow \xi^a,$$

Odd Hamiltonian  $Q = \rho_a \eta^a \leftrightarrow$  odd Hamiltonian  $K = q_a \xi^a$ .

$$[\omega] = (K, \omega) = \xi^a \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x^a} = d\omega, (\omega(x, \xi) \rightarrow \omega(x, dx)).$$

(all higher brackets vanish)

Odd homotopy bracket is nothing but de Rham differential.

## Lichnerowicz differential $d_P$

For even function  $P = P(x, \theta)$  ( $[P, P] = 0$ )

$$d_P F = [P, F]. (d_P^2 = 0)$$

Consider

$$Q_P = (P, Q) = (P, p_a \eta^a) = p_a \frac{\partial P(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta_a} + \eta^a \frac{\partial P(x, \theta)}{\partial x^a}$$

This is Hamiltonian linear in momenta. It produces degenerate homotopy bracket—Lichnerowicz differential:

$$[F] = (Q_P, F) = ((P, Q), F) = [P, F] = d_P F.$$

(all higher brackets vanish)

## Lichnerowicz differential $\rightarrow$ Higher Koszul brackets

Under MX symplectomorphism, Hamiltonian

$$Q_P(x, \theta, p, \eta) = p_a \frac{\partial P(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta_{a a}} + \eta^a \frac{\partial P(x, \theta)}{\partial x^a} \text{ on } T^*(\Pi T^* M)$$

transforms to Hamiltonian

$$K_P(x, \xi, q, \pi) = q_a \frac{\partial P(x, \pi)}{\partial \pi_{a a}} + \eta^a \frac{\partial P(x, \pi)}{\partial x^a} \text{ on } T^*(\Pi T M).$$

This Hamiltonian defines homotopy Schouten bracket on  $\Pi T M$   
(Higher Koszul bracket on differential forms)

## Higher Koszul brackets on $M$

Odd Hamiltonian  $K_P$  on  $T^*(\Pi TM)$  defines homotopy odd Poisson bracket (higher Koszul bracket) on  $\Pi TM$ ,

$$[F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n]_P = [\dots [K_P, F_1], \dots, F_n] \Big|_{\Pi TM}, \quad \Big|_{\Pi TM} = \Big|_{p=\pi=0}.$$

$$F = F(x, \xi) = f(x) + \xi^a f_a(x) + \dots, \quad (df = \xi^a \partial_a f),$$

$$[f]_P = 0, \quad [f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k]_P = 0$$

$$[f_1, df_2, \dots, df_n] = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\},$$

$$[df_1, df_2, \dots, df_n] = d\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\},$$

In the same way as for classical case ( $P = P^{ab} \theta_b \theta_a$ )

Recall the classical case  $P = P^{ab}\theta_b\theta_a$

$\mathfrak{A}^*$ — multivector fields on  $M$ = functions on  $\Pi T^*M$

$\Omega^*$ — differential forms on  $M$ = functions on  $\Pi TM$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathfrak{A}^k(M) & \xrightarrow{d_P} & \mathfrak{A}^{k+1}(M) & & C(\Pi T^*M) & \xrightarrow{d_P} & C(\Pi T^*M) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \Omega^k(M) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^{k+1}(M) & & C(\Pi TM) & \xrightarrow{d} & C(\Pi TM)
 \end{array}$$

$$\varphi_P: \xi^a = P^{ab}\theta_b, \quad \varphi_P^*: C(\Pi TM) \rightarrow C(\Pi T^*M)$$

Then

$$\varphi_P^*(d\omega) = d_P(\varphi_P^*\omega).$$

This relation survives for an arbitrary  $P = P(x, \theta)$  ( $[P, P] = 0$ .)

## Two Hamiltonians

$$\varphi_P \Pi TM \rightarrow \Pi T^*M: \xi^a = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial P(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta_a}.$$

$$\varphi_P^*(d\omega) = d_P(\varphi_P^*\omega), \quad (Q_P, \varphi_P^*\omega) = \varphi_P^*((K, \varphi)).$$

$$T^*(\Pi T^*M) \longrightarrow T^*(\Pi TM)$$

$$Q = p_a \eta^a \longrightarrow K = \eta^a q_a$$

canonical odd bracket  $\longrightarrow$  de Rham differential on  $\Pi TM$

$$Q_P = (P, Q) \longrightarrow K_P$$

Lichnerowicz diff. on  $\Pi T^*M$   $\longrightarrow$  Higher Koszul bracket on  $\Pi TM$

Map  $\varphi_P$  intertwines Lichnerowicz and de Rham differentials, i.e. Hamiltonians  $Q_P$  and  $K$ .

## Question

Map  $\varphi_P$  intertwines Lichnerowicz and de Rham differentials, i.e. Hamiltonians  $Q_P$  and  $K$ .

How look a map which intertwines Hamiltonians,  $Q$  and  $K_P$ , i.e. a map which intertwines canonical Schouten bracket and higher Koszul brackets???



## Usual Poisson bracket

$P(x, \theta) = P^{ab}(x)\theta_a\theta_b$  even function on  $\Pi T^*M$  quadratic on  $\theta$  defines usual Poisson bracket on  $M$ : for  $f, g \in C(M)$

$$\{f, g\} = \{f(x), g(x)\}_P = [[P, f], g] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^b} \left( \frac{\partial P(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta^a} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^a} \right) \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^b} =$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^a} P^{ab} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^b}.$$

Jacobi identity :  $0 = [P, P] = 2 \frac{\partial P}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial P}{\partial \theta^a} = 4 \partial_a P^{bc} P^{ad} \theta_b \theta_c \theta_d$

i.e.

$$P^{da} \partial_a P^{bc} + P^{ba} \partial_a P^{cd} + P^{ca} \partial_a P^{db} = 0.$$

## Higher Poisson brackets on $M$

Even (non-quadratic in momenta) Hamiltonian in  $\Pi T^*M$ ,  
 $H = P(x, \theta)$ , ( $[P, P] = 0$  Jacobi identity) defines homotopy  
 Poisson brackets, higher even brackets:

$$\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}_P = [\dots [P, f_1], \dots, f_n] \Big|_M, \quad \Big|_M = \Big|_{\theta=0}.$$

If

$$P = P^a \theta_a + \frac{1}{2} P^{ab} \theta_b \theta_a + \frac{1}{6} P^{abc} \theta_c \theta_b \theta_a + \dots$$

then

$$\{X^a\}_P = P^a, \{X^a, X^b\} = P^{ab}, \{X^a, X^b, X^c\} = P^{abc} \dots,$$

## From $\Pi T^*M$ to $\Pi TM$ .

### Theorem

*There is a natural odd linear map  $C(\Pi T^*M) \rightarrow C(T^*(\Pi TM))$  that takes canonical odd Poisson bracket on  $\Pi T^*M$  to canonical even Poisson bracket on  $T^*(\Pi TM)$*

### Corollary

|   |                   |   |
|---|-------------------|---|
| <p><i>even Hamiltonian</i><br/> <math>P = P(x, \theta)</math> on <math>\Pi T^*M</math><br/> <i>defining higher even</i><br/> <i>Poisson bracket on <math>M</math></i></p> | $\longrightarrow$ | <p><i>odd Hamiltonian</i><br/> <math>K = K_P(x, \xi; p, \pi)</math> on <math>T^*(\Pi TM)</math><br/> <i>defining higher Koszul</i><br/> <i>bracket on <math>\Pi T^*M</math></i></p> |
|---|-------------------|---|

## Recall: Master-Hamiltonian $\rightarrow$ homotopy brackets

$M$ —(super)manifold. (coordinates  $x = x^a$ )

Odd Hamiltonian  $Q(x, p)$  on  $T^*M$ , ( $p = p_b$  fibre coordinates) defines homotopy odd Poisson (Schouten) brackets on  $M$ —collection  $\{\{ \}_Q, \{ , \}_Q, \{ , , \}_Q, \dots\}$  of brackets on  $M$ :

$$\{f\}_Q = (H, f)|_{p=0}, \quad \{f, g\}_H = ((H, f), g)|_{p=0},$$

$$\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}_Q = (\dots(Q, f_1), f_2), \dots)|_{p_b=0}$$

$(, )$ —canonical even Poisson bracket on  $T^*M$ .

$(Q, Q) = 0$ —Jacobi identity,

We come to usual odd Poisson bracket if Hamiltonian is quadratic in momenta,  $Q = Q^{ab} p_a p_b$ .

## Homotopy bracket on $M \rightarrow L_\infty$ -algebra of functions on $M \rightarrow Q$ -manifold

$M$  an arbitrary (super)manifold

Let  $Q = Q(x, p)$  be an odd Hamiltonian in  $T^*M$ , and Jacobi identity  $(Q, Q) = 0$  is obeyed.

The odd Hamiltonian  $Q$  defines homotopy odd Poisson (homotopy Schouten) bracket on  $M$ .

Consider the following Hamilton-Jacobi vector field

$$\mathbf{X}_Q: C(T^*M) \ni f \rightarrow f + \varepsilon Q \left( x^a, p_b = \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x^b} \right),$$

$$\mathbf{X}_Q = \int_M dx Q \left( x^a, \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x^b} \right) \frac{\delta}{\delta f(x)}, \quad \mathbf{X}_Q^2 = \frac{1}{2} [\mathbf{X}_Q, \mathbf{X}_Q] = 0.$$

$\mathbf{X}_Q$  is homological vector field on infinite-dimensional space  $\mathfrak{M} = C(M)$  of functions on manifold  $M$ .

Homotopy Schouten structure on functions on  $M$   
defined by odd Hamiltonian  $Q$



$Q$ -manifold  $(\mathfrak{M}, \mathbf{X}_Q)$ ,  $L_\infty$  algebra  
 $\mathfrak{M} = C(M)$  and  $\mathbf{X}_Q$  is Hamilton Jacobi field of  $Q$

$$P = P(x, \theta), [P, P] = 0.$$

|  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| $\Pi T^*M - (x^a, \theta_b)$<br>Odd Poisson canonical bracket<br>Hamiltonian $Q = p_a \xi^a$<br>on $T(\Pi T^*M) - (x^a, \theta_b; p_a, \xi^a)$ | → | $\Pi TM - (x^a, \xi^b)$<br>Odd homotopy Koszul bracket<br>Hamiltonian $K_P = \xi^a \frac{\partial P}{\partial x^a} + p_a \frac{\partial P}{\partial \theta_a}$<br>on $T^*(\Pi TM) - (y^a, \xi^b; p_a, \theta_b)$ |
|--|---|--|

Q-manifold,  $L_\infty$  algebra  
 $\mathfrak{M}_1 = C(\Pi T^*M), \mathbf{X}_1 = \mathbf{X}_Q$

Q-manifold,  $L_\infty$  algebra  
 $\mathfrak{M}_2 = C(\Pi TM), \mathbf{X}_2 = \mathbf{X}_{K_P}$

Does there exist  $L_\infty$ -morphism  $(\mathfrak{M}_2, \mathbf{X}_2) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathbf{X}_1)$ , i.e. map  $\mathfrak{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1$  (may be non-linear) which intertwines homological vector fields  $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2$ ?

**Theorem**

*Yes, it does.*

Special case,  $P = \frac{1}{2} P^{ab} \theta_b \theta_a$

In this case the map

$$\Pi T^*M \rightarrow \Pi TM: \quad \xi^a = \frac{\partial P}{\partial \theta^a} = P^{ab}(x) \theta_b,$$

is linear in fibres. Morphism of  $Q$ -manifolds

$$C(\Pi T^*M) \leftarrow C(\Pi TM)$$

is its pull-back.

These linear maps intertwine differentials  $d$  and  $d_P$ , Hamiltonians  $Q$  and  $K_P$  and their homological vector fields  $\mathbf{X}_Q$  and  $\mathbf{X}_{K_P}$  on infinite-dimensional spaces of functions.



It is more tricky if  $P(x, \theta)$  is an arbitrary function (solution of master-equation  $[P, P] = 0$ ). The map

$$\Pi T^*M \rightarrow \Pi TM: \quad \xi^a = \frac{\partial P(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta^a}$$

is in general non-linear map.

Does there exist morphism of  $Q$ -manifolds

$$(\mathfrak{M}_2, \mathbf{X}_2) = (C(\Pi TM), \mathbf{X}_{K_P}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathbf{X}_1) = (C(\Pi T^*M), \mathbf{X}_Q)?$$

In other words does there exist a (non-linear) map

$C(\Pi TM) \rightarrow C(\Pi T^*M)$ ? which intertwines canonical odd Poisson bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  on  $\Pi T^*M$  and homotopy Koszul brackets  $[\cdot]_P, [\cdot, \cdot]_P, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_P, \dots$  on  $\Pi TM$ ?

## Recall: Two Hamiltonians

$$\varphi_P \Pi TM \rightarrow \Pi T^*M: \xi^a = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial P(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta_a}.$$

$$\varphi_P^*(d\omega) = d_P(\varphi_P^*\omega), \quad (Q_P, \varphi_P^*\omega) = \varphi_P^*((K, \varphi)).$$

$$T^*(\Pi T^*M) \longrightarrow T^*(\Pi TM)$$

$$Q = p_a \eta^a \longrightarrow K = \eta^a q_a$$

canonical odd bracket  $\longrightarrow$  de Rham differential on  $\Pi TM$

$$Q_P = (P, Q) \longrightarrow K_P$$

Lichnerowicz diff. on  $\Pi T^*M$   $\longrightarrow$  Higher Koszul bracket on  $\Pi TM$

Map  $\varphi_P$  intertwines Lichnerowicz and de Rham differentials, i.e. Hamiltonians  $Q_P$  and  $K$ .

## Recall: Question

Map  $\varphi_P$  intertwines Lichnerowicz and de Rham differentials, i.e. Hamiltonians  $Q_P$  and  $K$ .

How look a map which intertwines Hamiltonians  $Q$  and  $K_P$ ?  
a map which intertwines canonical Schouten bracket and higher Koszul brackets???

## Answer

Morphism  $\varphi_P: \Pi T^*M \rightarrow \Pi TM$  intertwines Hamiltonians  $Q_P$  and  $K$

We try to construct a ‘morphism’, (sort of morphism)

$$\Phi: \Pi T^*M \rightarrow \Pi TM,$$

which intertwines Hamiltonians  $Q$  and  $K_P$

$\Phi = \phi_P^*$  is *thick morphism* which is adjoint to morphism  $\varphi_P$ .

## Definition of thick morphism. (T.Voronov)

$M_1, M_2$ —two (super)manifolds  
 $x^i$ —coordinates on  $M_1$ ,  $y^a$ —coordinates on  $M_2$

Consider symplectic manifold  $T^*M_1 \times (-T^*M_2)$   
 equipped with canonical symplectic structure

$$\omega = \omega_1 - \omega_2 = \underbrace{dp_j \wedge dx^i}_{\text{coord. on } T^*M_1} - \underbrace{dq_a \wedge dy^a}_{\text{coord. on } T^*M_2}$$

function  $S = S(x, q)$

defines Lagrangian surface  $\Lambda_S \subset T^*M_1 \times (-T^*M_2)$ :

$$\Lambda_S = \left\{ (x, p, y, q) : p_i = \frac{\partial S(x, q)}{\partial x^i}, y^b = \frac{\partial S(x, q)}{\partial q_b} \right\}$$

## Lagrangian surface—canonical relation—thick morphism

Lagr. surf.  $\Lambda_S$  is canon. relation  $\Phi_S$  in  $T^*M_1 \times (-T^*M_2)$

$$(x^i, p_j) \sim_S (y^a, q_b) \leftrightarrow (x^i, p_j, y^a, q_b) \in \Lambda_S, (\Phi_S = \sim_S).$$

$\Phi = \Phi_S$  is a thick morphism  $M_1 \rightrightarrows M_2$

It defines pull-back  $\Phi_S^*$  of functions

$$\Phi_S^*: \mathfrak{M}_2 = C(M_2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1 = C(M_1),$$

such that for every function  $g = g(y) \in \mathfrak{M}_2$ ,

$$f = f(x) = (\Phi_S^*g)(x): \Lambda_f = \Phi_S \circ \Lambda_g,$$

where  $\Lambda_f, \Lambda_g$  are Lagrangian surfaces, graphs of  $df, dg$  in  $T^*M_1, T^*M_2$ .

## Explicit expression

$$f(x) = (\Phi_S^* g)(x) = g(y) + S(x, q) - y^a q_a$$

where  $y^a$  and  $q_a$  are defined from the equations

$$y^a = \frac{\partial S(x, q)}{\partial q_a}, \quad q_a = \frac{\partial g(y)}{\partial y^a}$$

We see that  $\Lambda_f = \Phi_S \circ \Lambda_g$  since

$$p_i = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} (g(y) + S(x, q) - y^a q_a) = \frac{\partial S(x, q)}{\partial x^i}.$$

## Properties of thick morphism

### Example

Generating function  $S = S^a(x)q_a$

$$(\Phi_S^* g)(x) = g(y) + S(x, q) - y^a q_a = g(y) + \underbrace{(S^a(x) - y^a)}_{\text{vanishes}} q_a = g(S^a(x))$$

Thick morphism  $M_1 \xrightarrow{\Phi_S} M_2$  is usual morphism  $M_1 \xrightarrow{y^a = S^a(x)} M_2$ .

In general case if  $S(x, q) = S(x) + S^a(x)q_a q_b + S^{ab}(x)q_a q_b + \dots$

$$(\Phi_S^* g)(x) = S(x) + \left( g(y) + S^{ab}(x) \frac{\partial g(y)}{\partial y^a} \frac{\partial g(y)}{\partial y^b} + \dots \right)_{y^a = S^a(x)}$$

is non-linear pull-back.



## Why it is important. Voronov's Theorem and Corollary

### Theorem

Let  $\Phi_S: M_1 \rightrightarrows M_2$  be a thick morphism.

Let  $Q_1, Q_2$  be  $\Phi_S$  related Hamilt. on  $T^*M_1, T^*M_2$ :

$$Q_1 \left( x^i, p_j = \frac{\partial S(x, q)}{\partial x^j} \right) \equiv Q_2 \left( y^a = \frac{\partial S(x, q)}{\partial q_a}, q_b \right).$$

Then Hamilton-Jacobi vector fields  $\mathbf{X}_{Q_1}, \mathbf{X}_{Q_2}$  on spaces  $\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2$  of functions are related by non-linear pull back  $\Phi_S^*$

## Corollary

Let  $\Phi_S: M_1 \rightrightarrows M_2$  be a thick morphism.

If odd Hamiltonians  $Q_1, Q_2$  are  $\Phi_S$  related and

$$(Q_1, Q_1) = (Q_2) = Q_2 = 0,$$

then non-linear pull-back

$$\Phi_S^*: \mathfrak{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1$$

defines  $L_\infty$ -morphism of  $L_\infty$  algebras  $(\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathbf{X}_{Q_1})$  and  $(\mathfrak{M}_2, \mathbf{X}_{Q_2})$ .

## Revenons à nos moutons

$$\varphi_P \Pi TM \rightarrow \Pi T^*M: \xi^a = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial P(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta_a}.$$

$$\varphi_P^*(d\omega) = d_P(\varphi_P^*\omega), \quad (Q_P, \varphi_P^*\omega) = \varphi_P^*((K, \varphi)).$$

$$T^*(\Pi T^*M) \longrightarrow T^*(\Pi TM)$$

$$Q = p_a \eta^a \longrightarrow K = \eta^a q_a$$

canonical odd bracket  $\longrightarrow$  de Rham differential on  $\Pi TM$

$$Q_P = (P, Q) \longrightarrow K_P$$

Lichnerowicz diff. on  $\Pi T^*M$   $\longrightarrow$  Higher Koszul bracket on  $\Pi TM$

Morphism (usual)  $\varphi_P$  intertwines Hamilt.  $Q_P$  and  $K$

??? thick morphism  $\phi_S$  which intertwines Hamilt.  $Q$  and  $K_P$ .

$Q_P$  and  $K$  are  $\varphi_P$  related,  $Q$  and  $K_P$  will be  $\phi_P$  related

## Thick morphism—generalisation of adjoint

 $E \rightarrow M$  vector bundle

$$\Phi: E \rightrightarrows E^*$$

 $E^* \rightarrow M$  its dual

$$\Phi^+: E \rightrightarrows E^*$$

$$L = L_S \text{ Lagr. surf. defining } \Phi \quad \leftrightarrow \quad L^* = L_{S^*} \text{ Lagr. surf. defining } \Phi^+$$

These Lagrangian surfaces belong to  $T^*E \times (-T^*E^*)$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T^*E \times (-T^*E^*) & \text{MX symplectom.} & T^*E \times (-T^*E^*) \\
 \mathcal{S} & \leftrightarrow & \mathcal{S}^* \\
 \Lambda = \Lambda_S & \leftrightarrow & \Lambda^* = \Lambda_{S^*}
 \end{array}$$

If  $\Phi$  is linear map in fibres, then  $\Phi^*$  is just its adjoint.

## Return again to our case

$$\varphi_P \Pi TM \rightarrow \Pi T^*M: \xi^a = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial P(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta_a}.$$

$$\varphi_P^*(d\omega) = d_P(\varphi_P^*\omega), \quad (Q_P, \varphi_P^*\omega) = \varphi_P^*((K, \varphi)).$$

$$T^*(\Pi T^*M) \longrightarrow T^*(\Pi TM)$$

$$Q = p_a \eta^a \longrightarrow K = \eta^a q_a$$

canonical odd bracket  $\longrightarrow$  de Rham differential on  $\Pi TM$

$$Q_P = (P, Q) \longrightarrow K_P$$

Lichnerowicz diff. on  $\Pi T^*M$   $\longrightarrow$  Higher Koszul bracket on  $\Pi TM$

Morphism (usual)  $\varphi_P$  intertwines Hamilt.  $Q_P$  and  $K$

??? thick morphism  $\phi_S$  ? which intertwines Hamilt.  $Q$  and  $K_P$ .

$Q_P$  and  $K$  are  $\varphi_P$  related,  $Q$  and  $K_P$  will be  $\phi_P$  related

## Solution

$$\varphi_P: \quad \Pi TM \rightarrow \Pi T^*M: \quad \xi^a = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial P(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta_a}.$$

Let  $\phi$  be a thick morphism adjoint to morphism  $\varphi_P$ .

We know that  $\varphi_P$  intertwines  $Q_P$  and  $K$

We have that Mackenzie-Xu symplectomorphism transforms:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_P &\leftrightarrow \phi \\ K &\leftrightarrow Q \\ Q_P &\leftrightarrow K_P \end{aligned}$$

Hence adjoint thick morphism  $\phi$  intertwines  $Q$  and  $K_P$ .

The pull-back  $\phi^*: C(\Pi T^*M) \leftarrow C(\Pi TM)$  is non-linear map on space of functions which transforms homotopy Koszul bracket to canonical Schouten bracket.

Thank you

## Papers that talk is based on

- [1] H.M.Khudaverdian, Th. Voronov *Higher Poisson brackets and differential forms*, 2008a In: Geometric Methods in Physics. AIP Conference Proceedings 1079, American Institute of Physics, Melville, New York, 2008, 203-215., arXiv: 0808.3406
- [2] Th. Voronov, *Nonlinear pullback on functions and a formal category extending the category of supermanifolds*], arXiv: 1409.6475
- [3] Th. Voronov, *Microformal geometry*, arXiv: 1411.6720